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**Directorate-General for Internationalisation and Communication**
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**funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU**

**Annex 6C – Evaluation Report for Host Institutions**

|  |
| --- |
| **IMPORTANT NOTICE**  **Scoring**  Scoring must be in the range from **0-5**.  **0-1** – The proposal does not adequately meet the evaluation criteria or there are inherent weaknesses  **2-3** – The proposal addresses the evaluation criteria correctly but there are some limitations  **4-5** – The proposal successfully addresses the evaluation criteria, and any shortcomings are to be considered marginal  **Thresholds:**  The threshold for the individual criteria is **2 points**.  The overall threshold, applying to the sum of the 3 individual scores, is **9 points**. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT INFORMATION** | |
| **Project Name** |  |
| **Applicant Name** |  |
| **Project duration** |  |
| **Panel Coordinator** |  |
| **Evaluators** |  |
| **Host Institution** |  |

1. **Evaluation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Scientific adequacy**   *Consistency, clarity and ambition of the project goals with respect to those of the MSCA project (First submission) that obtained a “Seal of Excellence”* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **Score 1** (0-5)  Threshold: 2/5 |  |
| 1. **Expected impact of the project**   *Evaluation of the “First submission”; adherence to European and international policy frameworks (for example, the Sustainable Development Goals and the 5 EU Missions of the Horizon Europe Framework Program) and validity in terms of technology and knowledge transfer* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **Score 2** (0-5)  Threshold: 2/5 |  |
| 1. **Implementation and feasibility**   *Robustness, clarity and feasibility of the research plan in the proposed timing* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **Score 3** (0-5)  Threshold: 2/5 |  |
| **Total Score** (0-15)  Overall threshold: 9/15 |  |

1. **Other questions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Ethics** | |
| Did the applicant provide the Ethics self-assessment? (Annex 3C)  [*Yes/No*] |  |
| Is the information provided by the applicant in Annex 3C sufficient?  [*Yes/No*] |  |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| **DNSH principle** | |
| Did the applicant provide a declaration of compliance with the DNSH principle? (Annex 2C)  [*Yes/No*] |  |
| Did the evaluators complete the checklist related to compliance with the “do no significant harm” principle?  [*Yes/No*] |  |
| **Exclusive focus on civil applications** | |
| Do the activities proposed have an exclusive focus on civil applications (activities intended to be used in military application or aims to serve military purposes cannot be funded)?  [*Yes/No*] |  |
| *If No, please explain:*  [Comment box] | |

Panel Coordinator of Host Institution

*(signature)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dean/President of Host Institution

*(signature)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_